

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,981	05/19/2005	Mohiaddin Rezvani	P-7285-US	2200
49443 PEARL COHE	7590 03/09/200 EN ZEDEK LATZER, I		EXAMINER HOFFMAN, SUSAN COE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
1500 BROAD	WAY 12TH FLOOR			
NEW YORK, I	NY 10036			
			1655	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 Г	AYS .	03/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/510,981	REZVANI, MOHIADDIN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Susan Coe Hoffman	1655	,
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	·	,	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the second	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	awn from consideration.	·	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed as a composition of the specific and any objection to the specific and the speci	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the contraction of the contra	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 1655

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-23 are currently pending. Please take notice of the election of species requirement beginning at paragraph 3. To be fully responsive, applicant must fulfill this requirement.

Election/Restrictions

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-18 and 23, drawn to a curcumin composition. Please note that claims 1-16 and 23 are "use" type claims which are not a U.S. category of invention. These claims are assumed to be composition claims for the sake of restriction. Amendment of these claims to a category of invention other than composition claims may result in their placement in a different Group of invention.

Group II, claim(s) 19-22, drawn to a method of preventing or treating tissue damage caused by non-physical insult.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: US Pat. No. 6,733,802 teaches a composition that contains grape seed oil, tocopherols and Curcuma longa extract (see column 3, lines 17-22). The reference teaches using the composition as an insecticide. The reference does not teach using this composition for the same method as claimed. Thus, the reference would anticipate the claims in Group I but not the claims in Group II. Therefore, the claimed composition lacks a special technical feature with the claimed method of using the composition.

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Art Unit: 1655

The species are as follows:

A: oil selected from linseed oil, olive oil, groundnut oil, borage seed oil, hempseed oil, grape seed oil, walnut oil, wheat germ oil, soybean oil, corn oil, borage seed oil, evening primrose oil, rapeseed oil, sunflower oil or a specific combination thereof; and

B: antioxidant selected from alpha-tocopherol, dimethyl sulfoxide, gamma linolenic acid, melatonin, thiol-containing agents, enzymes, ascorbic acid, selenium, carotene, flavonoids, extracts from Astragalus membraneus, Ginkgo biloba, Silybum marianum, Ligusticum chuaxiong, Panax ginseng, Scutellaria baicalensis, or a specific combination thereof.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Species A: claims 11 and 12; species B: claims 13 and 14.

The following claim(s) are generic: claims 1-10.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical

Art Unit: 1655

features for the following reasons: As discussed above, US '802 teaches one embodiment encompassed by the current claims. The reference does not teach all of the claimed species; thus, the species lack unity.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Coe Hoffman whose telephone number is (571) 272-0963. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 9:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on (571) 272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1655

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Susan Coe Hoffman Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1655